

David Icke Newsletter - 6th December 2009

Copyright David Icke, 2009. All Rights Reserved.

(Note: This newsletter, along with others going back to 2005, is available to view on www.davidicke.com by logging in and clicking on "newsletter archive" on the left-hand side menu)

The David Icke Newsletter, December 6th, 2009

THE CLIMATE 'CONSENSUS' ...



... OF CHEATS, LIARS AND FRAUDS


Hello all ...

I have been saying for years that if something is part of the global agenda, it won't take no for an answer. This is a normally fail-proof way of picking out the patterns of manipulation.

If something is pushed ahead despite public opinion and against all the evidence, natural justice and common sense then the agenda it will invariably be. Today, we have a perfect example of this process with what is being dubbed 'climategate', the exposure of leading scientists for fixing the temperature records.

Global warming, or 'climate change' as they now call it after temperatures began to consistently fall, is the most blatantly engineered 'problem', or 'no problem', and it is simply one gigantic lie. But, as they say, tell a lie often enough and it becomes accepted truth and, as Hitler point out, the bigger the lie the more people will believe it.

The 'climate change' propaganda is founded on the myth that temperature has been increased significantly, and to potentially catastrophic levels, by human-created carbon dioxide emissions when, in truth, temperature changes are caused by increases or decreases in solar activity, known as sunspots, and other natural phenomena.



We had been on a rising trend of solar activity which pushed up average temperatures, but this has now fallen year on year and therefore so have temperatures. When the sunspots increase again in the next cycle, temperatures will rise again before falling as the cycle

comes to an end.


This is how it has always been. The end-of-the-world climate predictions have come *entirely* from rigged 'computer models' of the shite-in-shite-out variety. Carbon dioxide accounts for a fraction of greenhouse gases and human-generated carbon dioxide is a fraction even of that.

By far the biggest contributors to the greenhouse effect are water vapour and clouds that make up about 96.5% of natural heat-retaining phenomena. What do we do, ban water vapour and ban clouds??

Oh yes, and before carbon dioxide is demonised any more, it is worth remembering that it is responsible for making plants grow. Without it, and indeed a greenhouse effect to retain heat, this planet would be uninhabitable.

The Illuminati families set out to create the illusion of potential climate catastrophe to change the face and nature of global society and impose enormous new taxes together with a police and surveillance state to enforce new climate laws.

One of the most significant organisations in the Illuminati environmental agenda is the Club of Rome, founded in 1968. This connects into the Bilderberg Group, Council on Foreign Relations and Trilateral Commission network.



Aurelio Peccei

These are all Rothschild operations, coordinated by the Round Table secret society in Britain. The founder of the Club of Rome, Aurelio Peccei, said in the organisation's 1991 publication, *The First Global Revolution*:

'In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine, and the like would fit the Bill ... All of these are caused by human intervention ... The real enemy, then, is humanity itself.'

The major motivation of the climate change agenda is to install a world government dictatorship by stealth. United Nations documents, recently uncovered, detail the plan for global government introduced via environmental laws and regulations. The vehicle for this is the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), which established the Illuminati-controlled Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) that has sold the end-of-the-world scenario.

The problem has been that the climate has not been 'on message'. In October the dedicated 'global warming' promoters at the BBC suffered great embarrassment when one of its 'Climate Correspondents', Paul Hudson, wrote an article admitting that temperatures had not increased for eleven years.

The headline on the BBC website summed it up: 'Whatever happened to global warming?' Hudson quoted Professor Don Easterbrook from Western Washington University as saying that falling ocean temperatures virtually assured us that the Earth would be in a cooling phase for some 30 years.

The desperation to hide the fact that temperatures have been going down has led to the figures being doctored by scientists all over the world, including some at NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies.



This is headed by the close Al Gore associate, Dr James Hansen. He has been called 'the father of climate change', but should really be called 'the father of the dodgy data that fuelled the myth of climate change'.

Hansen's NASA Institute announced that October 2008 was the hottest on record and yet the experience across the world was of very cold weather and heavy snowstorms. When the obvious inaccuracy of the NASA figures was questioned it turned out that they had used the figures from the warmer month of September and said they were for October.

This is highly relevant because the figures published by Hansen's NASA Institute provide some of the prime data used by the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to support its line about global warming and NASA has consistently claimed higher temperatures than other figures.

It's not the first time Hansen has been caught out, either. In 2007, he was forced to accept that his claim that the 1990s were the hottest decade of the 20th century wasn't true. It was the 1930s when there was dramatically less carbon dioxide being produced. Atmospheric scientist Dr John S Theon, one of Hansen's colleagues at NASA, said:

'Hansen was never muzzled even though he violated NASA's official agency position on climate forecasting (i.e., we did not know enough to forecast climate change or mankind's effect on it). Hansen thus embarrassed NASA by coming out with his claims of global warming in 1988 in his testimony before Congress.'

When you are serving the Illuminati agenda you are protected and allowed to break the rules while those challenging your propaganda are pressured to follow the rules to the letter, or even face new rules to shut them up. This has created a fantastically distorted perception of what is happening to the climate.



Greenpeace International Executive Director, Gerd Leipold, was forced to admit that a press release claiming that Arctic ice would disappear by 2030 could not be substantiated, but he said that he did not apologise for 'emotionalising issues' to get the public to see the world his way. I think he means that lying is okay so long as people believe you.

Not only are the icecaps not disappearing, they are getting bigger as temperatures have been falling. A report published in October said that the Antarctic summer 'melt' in 2008-2009 was the *lowest ever recorded since satellite technology was introduced*. The report, in the journal

Geophysical Research Letters, was ignored by the mainstream media and environmental groups.

If you want to see the original global temperature records most cited by the IPCC to check the data that the Climate Cult been using, well, too bad. They've destroyed them, or 'lost' them.

This happened, just by coincidence, of course, when the Climatic Research Unit at the UK's University of East Anglia, one of the global centres for the Climate Cult, was faced with requests to release them under the Freedom of Information Act.

It had previously refused to release the raw weather station data and details of its processing methods, except to hand-picked academics (the song-sheet choir). Why would they destroy data that, if they are telling the truth, would support their case for human-caused climate change? Because it didn't - quite the opposite.

Those of us who have been researching this subject for years have long known that the data was systematically rigged, but the story went onto a whole new level recently when a hacker accessed thousands of emails and documents from the Climatic Research Unit computers and exposed beyond question that 'climate change' has been manufactured by 'scientists' who have coldly set out to deceive the public.



The emails include communications between prominent climate scientists and reveal everything from the unethical to the potentially illegal. There was a conspiracy to block Freedom of Information requests and to keep sceptical scientists out of the peer review process and scientific publications.



Professor Phil Jones, the head of the 'Research' Unit, has stood down while an investigation is carried out. One of his emails said: 'I have just completed Mike's Nature trick of adding in the real temps to each series for the last 20 years to hide the decline'.

Dr Tim Ball, who spent 30 years in climate science and challenges the official fairytale, called the revelations 'not just a smoking gun, but a battery of machine guns'.

He said that on a global scale it was frightening because this group of scientists not only control the UK Meteorological Office's Hadley Centre, which controls the temperature data, but they also control the IPCC. In turn, the IPCC is the body that drives government climate policy around the world.



Hadley Centre propaganda

To complete the circle, the Hadley Centre is co-funded by the UK government's Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Ministry of Defence and Department of Energy and Climate Change.

Lord Christopher Monckton, a former policy advisor to British Prime Minister, Margaret Thatcher, and a vehement critic of the climate change 'orthodoxy', summarises the hacker's findings in a paper entitled 'Climategate: Caught Green-Handed':


- A tiny clique of politicised scientists, paid by unscientific politicians with whom they were financially and politically linked, were

responsible for gathering and reporting data on temperatures from the palaeoclimate to today's climate. The 'Team', as they called themselves, were bending and distorting scientific data to fit a nakedly political story-line profitable to themselves and congenial to the governments that, these days, pay the bills for 99% of all scientific research.

- The Climate Research Unit at East Anglia had profited to the tune of at least \$20 million in 'research' grants from the Team's activities.
- The Team had tampered with the complex, bureaucratic processes of the UN's climate panel, the IPCC, so as to exclude inconvenient scientific results from its four *Assessment Reports*, and to influence the panel's conclusions for political rather than scientific reasons.
- The Team had conspired in an attempt to redefine what is and is not peer-reviewed science for the sake of excluding results that did not fit what they and the politicians with whom they were closely linked wanted the UN's climate panel to report.
- They had tampered with their own data so as to conceal inconsistencies and errors.
- They had expressed dismay at the fact that, contrary to all of their predictions, global temperatures had not risen in any statistically-significant sense for 15 years, and had been falling for nine years. They had admitted that their inability to explain it was 'a travesty'. This internal doubt was in contrast to their public statements that the present decade is the warmest ever, and that 'global warming' science is settled.
- They had interfered with the process of peer-review itself by leaning on journals to get their friends rather than independent scientists to review their papers.
- They had successfully leaned on friendly journal editors to reject papers reporting results inconsistent with their political viewpoint.
- They had campaigned for the removal of a learned journal's editor, solely because he did not share their willingness to debase and corrupt science for political purposes.
- They had mounted a venomous public campaign of disinformation and denigration of their scientific opponents via a website that they had expensively created.

- Contrary to all the rules of open, verifiable science, the Team had committed the criminal offence of conspiracy to conceal and then to destroy computer codes and data that had been legitimately requested by an external researcher who had very good reason to doubt that their 'research' was either honest or competent.

The emails also refer to their efforts to 'contain' the Medieval Warm Period when temperatures were higher than they are now with no industrial age carbon dioxide in the atmosphere.



This is devastating information for the climate change lobby and they have sought to delete it from climate discussions and the official record. Lord Christopher Monckton, has said that his own sources confirm this, too. He wrote in the London *Sunday Telegraph*:


'... the UN abolished the medieval warm period ... In 1995, David Deming, a geoscientist at the University of Oklahoma, had written an article reconstructing 150 years of North American temperatures from borehole data.

He later wrote: "With the publication of the article in Science, I gained significant credibility in the community of scientists working on climate change. They thought I was one of them, someone who would pervert science in the service of social and political causes.

One of them let his guard down. A major person working in the area of climate change and global warming sent me an astonishing email that said: 'We have to get rid of the medieval warm period'.

So they did. The UN's second assessment report, in 1996, showed a 1,000-year graph demonstrating that temperature in the Middle Ages was warmer than today. But the 2001 report contained a new graph showing no medieval warm period.

It wrongly concluded that the 20th century was the warmest for 1,000 years. The graph looked like an ice hockey-stick. The wrongly flat AD1000-AD1900 temperature line was the shaft: the uptick from 1900 to 2000 was the blade.'



The 'hockey-stick' graph, which wrongly claims to depict a sudden explosion of temperature increases, was widely used by the United Nations to frighten the population and was sent to every household by the Canadian government, Monckton says. They refused to retract it, or apologise, when it was exposed as ludicrous.

Even after that, the UN continued to sell its case using the 'hockey stick' data described by British writer, Christopher Booker, author of *The Real Global Warming Disaster*, as 'one of the most comprehensively discredited artefacts in the history of science'. Monckton writes:

'Scores of scientific papers show that the medieval warm period was real, global and up to 3C warmer than now. Then, there were no glaciers in the tropical Andes: today they're there. There were Viking farms in Greenland: now they're under permafrost. There was little ice at the North Pole: a Chinese naval squadron sailed right round the Arctic in 1421 and found none.'

But, as I say, if it's the agenda, it doesn't take no for an answer. They just bash on regardless of what anyone says or does. This coming week Barack Obama and an estimated 16,500 politicians, delegates, activists and reporters will be in Copenhagen for the United Nations Climate Change Conference.

They will discuss demands to drastically reduce global carbon emissions while, irony of irony, producing 40,584 tons of carbon dioxide, about the same amount as the carbon emissions of Morocco in 2006. It includes the use of some 140 aircraft carrying world leaders, heads of state and so-called VIPs.

All this is taking place in the midst of the revelations about fixed and manipulated science to give the impression that something is happening when it is not. The politicians and climate extremists have once again simply ignored it to maintain business as usual.



Obama will take his teleprompters to the Danish capital and read what he is given to read. *Lies*. He will say something close to what he said at the United Nations in September:

'No nation, however large or small, wealthy or poor, can escape the impact of climate change. Rising sea levels threaten every coastline. More powerful storms and floods threaten every continent. More frequent drought and crop failures breed hunger and conflict in places where hunger and conflict already thrive.'

'On shrinking islands, families are already being forced to flee their homes as climate refugees. The security and stability of each nation and all peoples - our prosperity, our health, our safety - are in jeopardy. And the time we have to reverse this tide is running out.'

Utter tosh. If the system really cared about the planet they would welcome those who have developed free energy technology that turns natural energy fields in the atmosphere into usable warmth and power without pollution.

Instead they harass them, confiscate their technology and documents and some have even been jailed.

Much of the mainstream media has looked the other way since the 'climategate' scandal broke. The widespread climate censorship was revealed by the respected British TV news anchor, Peter Sissons, who left the BBC over the way its 'news' operation was being run.



Sissons said that he was one of only a tiny number of BBC interviewers who had so much as raised the possibility that there was another side to the debate on climate change. He said the BBC's most famous 'interrogators' invariably begin by accepting 'the science is settled' when, as he points out, there are countless reputable scientists that say it isn't. He wrote in the London *Mail on Sunday*.

'... it is effectively BBC policy, enthusiastically carried out by the BBC environment correspondents, that those views should not be heard - witness the BBC statement last year that "BBC News currently takes the view that their reporting needs to be calibrated to take into account the scientific consensus that global warming is man-made".'

There is no scientific consensus, only the manufactured appearance of one thanks to the disgraceful excuses-for-journalists at the BBC and across the global media who refuse to give voice to the tens of thousands of scientists who say the official story is a scientific absurdity.

Sissons recalls an extraordinary interview that he conducted with the leader of the UK Green Party, Caroline Lucas, a woman with serious 'climate change' myopia and a religious zealotry to make everyone conform to her misguided views. I knew her when I was in the party in the 1980s.

Sissons said he pointed out to Lucas that the climate did not seem to be 'playing ball' with her claims about rising temperatures caused by carbon dioxide. He put to her that there had been no warming for ten years, contradicting all the alarming computer predictions.



Lucas was outraged, he said, and told him it was disgraceful that the BBC should be giving any kind of publicity to 'those sorts of views'. This is a lady who heads a party that claims to stand for freedom of speech and information, but the mind-made advocates of the Climate Cult are like the most extreme of religious fanatics who say it is blasphemy to challenge their religion in any way.

They live in a world of double-think schizophrenia in which they say they support human rights and freedom while pressing for 'climate' laws

of the most draconian kind and seek to silence anyone with another opinion.

The Climate Cult' is a grotesque alliance of the governmental and scientific establishment and the 'anti-establishment' political left and greens. It is a cult of convenience in that the establishment has its tax and control agenda for 'climate change' while the left and the greens are both infiltrated by agents of the state and also have their own personal agendas.

The influence of the greens would be drastically reduced if climate change did not exist in the political and public mind of so many.

This is why, despite the revelations of 'Climategate', the climate cultists will be singing from the song sheet in Copenhagen this week while I have a sick bag very close to hand.

CLIMATEGATE: CAUGHT GREEN-HANDED



COLD FACTS ABOUT THE HOT TOPIC OF GLOBAL TEMPERATURE CHANGE AFTER THE CLIMATEGATE SCANDAL



[Read more ...](#)